----- Forwarded message -------From: **Tom** <**XXXXX** @gmail.com> Date: Thu, Feb 6, 2014 at 10:14 AM

Subject: Re: SA and CYTR

To: Richard Pearson < [XXXXX]>

John wrote his article before the short piece came out. It was published after but written before. The company just took a long time to approve it. Wouldn't have been fair to make him go back and add something else.

He's been working for me 4 months or so. He's pretty good, gets things written pretty quick.

http://seekingalpha.com/article/1866271-forcefield-energy-is-one-company-worth-watching-in-the-led-industry

GALE is just slow my friend. I chatted with Michael last night and they're still pending. I can't make them approve when I say, it's up to them unfortunately.

On Thu, Feb 6, 2014 at 12:03 PM, Richard Pearson < [XXXXX] > wrote: good point.

on GALE, I thought that John Mylant did a great piece this week, but he did not address the short attack.

did the company approve his piece? why didn't they add anything about the short attack? it seemed weird to ignore it. but it was a good piece. how long has he been working for you? he is pretty good. I am going to study some of his stuff. do you have any favorites of his that were very good?

also, do you know why GALE is taking so long to approve my piece? I wanted to get that one out quickly while it was still fresh.